UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,311	01/27/2006	Arnim Kohn	512100-2054	1900
William F Law	7590 03/05/200 rence	EXAMINER		
Frommer Lawre	ence & Haug	BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN		
745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/566,311	KOHN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nenkataraman Balasubramanian/	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 De</u>	ecember 2008.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-18 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,9 and 15-18 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 7,8 and 11-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/11/2008. Notice of Informal Patent Application						

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' response, which included amendment to claims 1-7, cancellation of claim 10 and addition of new claims 11-18, filed on 12/2/2008, is made of record. Claims 1-9 and 11-18 are now pending.

In view of applicants' amendment, the 112 second paragraph rejections and 101 rejection made in the previous office action have been obviated. However, the following prior art rejections made in the previous office action are maintained.

In addition a new ground of 112 second paragraph rejection is made as necessitated by applicants' amendment.

Information Disclosure Statement

References cited in the Information Disclosure Statement, filed on 12/11/2008, are made of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

1. Newly added claims 12-14 are improper dependent claims. A compound claim cannot depend on the method of use of the compound itself. Note claims 12-14 are dependent on claim 1, which is a method of use claim while claim 12-14 are compound claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,311 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7, 8, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hajos et al., US 4,316,022 (Hajos hereinafter).

Hajos teaches several benzotriazines as analgesics, which include instant compounds. See formula I and note with given definition of R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , and R_4 , the compounds taught by Hajos include instant compounds. See examples 1-22 for various compounds made.

This rejection is same as made in the previous office action but now excludes claim 9 and includes newly added claims 12 and 13. Contrary to applicants' urging the proviso v does not exclude compound of example 2, which corresponds to compound of formula li wherein X=C-Br and compound of example 20.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 7, 8, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hajos et al., US 4,316,022(Hajos hereinafter).

Hajos teaches several benzotriazines as analgesics which include instant compounds. See formula I and note with given definition of R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , and R_4 , the compounds taught by Hajos include instant compounds. See examples 1-22 for various compounds made.

Hajos differs in not exemplifying all compounds embraced in the formula I. However, Hajos teaches equivalency of those compounds taught with those generically claimed. Hence, it would be obvious to one trained in the art to make the compounds of the formula I with various choices of R_1 , R_2 , R_3 , and R_4 and expect the resultant compounds to have use taught therein.

This rejection is same as made in the previous office action but now excludes claim 9 and includes newly added claims 12 and 13. Contrary to applicants' urging the proviso v does not exclude compound of example 2, which corresponds to compound of formula Ii wherein X=C-Br and compound of example 20. One trained in the art would be motivated to make variously substituted compounds in the benzene ring based on

and is maintained.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6, 9 and 15-18 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571) 272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is James O. Wilson, whose telephone number is 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for

Application/Control Number: 10/566,311 Page 6

Art Unit: 1624

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAG. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

/Venkataraman Balasubramanian/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1624

Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).